

1 **J. DAVID NICK, Esq.** (SB#157687)  
2 **EDITTE LERMAN, Esq.** (SB#241471)  
3 45060 Ukiah Street  
4 P.O. Box 802  
5 Mendocino, CA 95460  
6 Tel: (707) 937-1711  
7 Fax: (707) 937-2207

8 Attorneys for Plaintiff  
9 ZACHARIAH JUDSON RUTLEDGE  
10

11 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
12 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
13 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION  
14

15 \* \* \* \* \*

16 ZACHARIAH JUDSON RUTLEDGE,

17 Plaintiff,

18 vs.

19 COUNTY OF SONOMA,  
20 MICHAEL POTTS, RUSSEL L.  
21 DAVIDSON, JAMES PATRICK CASEY,  
22 CHRISTINE M. COOK, DETECTIVE  
23 BEAU R. MARTIN, SONOMA  
24 COUNTY SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT;  
25 SONOMA COUNTY DISTRICT  
26 ATTORNEY'S OFFICE; and DOES 1  
through 40.

Defendants.

CASE NO.: CV 07-04274 EMC

**EX PARTE APPLICATION  
FOR LEAVE TO CORRECT CAPTION  
ON FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT  
FOR LEAVE TO AMEND SUMMONS;  
(Proposed) ORDER**

[FCRP 15]

(Date of completion of service set for)  
Date: February 29, 2008

1  
2 **EX PARTE APPLICATION FOR LEAVE TO CORRECT CAPTION OF FIRST**  
3 **AMENDED COMPLAINT AND TO AMEND SUMMONS: DECLARATION OF**  
4 **COUNSEL**

5 1. I, Editte Lerman, am an attorney licensed to practice law in the State of  
6 California and am the counsel for plaintiff, Zachariah Rutledge, in this action. Except as  
7 otherwise stated, I have personal knowledge of every fact stated in this declaration and, if called  
8 as a witness, could and would testify competently thereto.

9 2. I am a resident of the State of California, residing or employed in Mendocino,  
10 California. I am over the age of 18 years and am not a party to the above-entitled action. My  
11 business address is 45060 Ukiah Street, P.O. Box 802, Mendocino, CA 95460.

12  
13 **PLAINTIFF ERRORED IN FAILING TO ADD NAMES OF TWO DEFENDANTS IN**  
14 **CAPTION OF FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT**

15 3. On December 21, 2007 Plaintiff filed the first amended complaint. Three  
16 additional defendants were named, who were originally identified as DOES 1-3 on the first  
17 Complaint. The names of the identified defendants erroneously omitted from the caption are J.  
18 MICHAEL MULLINS and STEPHAN R. PASSALACQUA. The names of defendants is also  
omitted on the summons.

19 **NONE OF THE DEFENDANTS HAVE BEEN SERVED AND COUNSEL FOR ALL**  
20 **DEFENDANTS EXCEPT JACOBS AND POTTS REFUSED TO WAIVE SERVICE OF**  
21 **SUMMONS**

22 4. On January 14, 2008 Plaintiff was contacted by Bonnie A. Freeman, Esq. Ms. Freeman  
23 informed Plaintiff that she has been authorized to accept service for all of the defendants except,  
24 Messrs. Potts and Jacobs. On January 15, 2008, a Notice of Lawsuit and Request for Waiver  
25 of Service of Summons was sent to Ms. Freeman for the defendants she represents. On January  
26

1 17, 2008, Ms. Freeman contacted my office and informed me that she will not waive service of  
 2 summons due to the defect in caption of the summons and the first amended complaint in that  
 3 they do not name all parties.

4 On January 14, 2008 Plaintiff also sent a Notice of Lawsuit and Request for Waiver of  
 5 Service of Summons to the California Attorney General for service of defendant Michael Potts.

6 As of the date of the filing of this application, the California Attorney General has not  
 7 made contact with the plaintiff. The remaining defendant, Greg Jacobs, has not been located,  
 8 contacted, or served by Plaintiff.

9  
 10 I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct, and that this  
 11 declaration is executed on January 22, 2008 at Mendocino, California.

12  
 13 \_\_\_\_\_/s/\_\_\_\_\_  
 14

15 Editte Lerman

# 16 POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

17  
 18 Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 15 - Amended and Supplemental Pleadings provides in  
 19 part,

20 (a) Amendments Before Trial.

21 (1) Amending as a Matter of Course. A party may amend its pleading once as  
 22 a matter of course:

23 (A) before being served with a responsive pleading;

24 (2) Other Amendments. In all other cases, a party may amend its pleading  
 25 only with the opposing party's written consent or the court's leave. The court  
 26 should freely give leave when justice so requires.

(*Fed. R. Civ. P.* 15(a)(1)-(2).)

1  
2 Although the full name of each individual or entity named as a party in the action should  
3 be set forth accurately in the complaint or in some other pleading in which a claim for relief is  
4 first stated against that party, this requirement is interpreted liberally. In the absence of  
5 prejudice, the court will overlook, or permit a party to amend, errors and omissions in the  
6 naming of parties. (*Yeseta v. Baima*, 837 F.2d 380, 382-383 (9th Cir. 1988); *Blanchard v. Terry*  
7 *& Wright, Inc.*, 331 F.2d 467, 469 (6th Cir. 1964))

8 Here, the summons and complaint have not been served and the defendant's have  
9 refused to waive the service of the summons, and the deadline for service of the summons and  
10 complaint has been set to February 29, 2008 (See Document 9). Therefore, the defendants  
11 cannot be prejudiced by leave to amend, errors and omissions in the naming of parties.

#### 12 CONCLUSION

13 The Court should grant the plaintiff leave to amend to correct the errors and omissions  
14 in the naming of parties in the First amended Complaint and leave to amend the Summons.

15  
16 Dated: January 22, 2008

17 Respectfully submitted,

18 -----/s/-----

19 Editte Lerman  
20 Attorney for Plaintiff  
21 Zachariah Rutledge

ORDER

Satisfactory proof having been made and good cause appearing,

IT IS ORDERED THAT:

Plaintiff's application for leave to amend Summons and the First Amended Complaint is granted.

Dated: January \_\_\_, 2008.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Edward M. Chen  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
MAGISTRATE JUDGE